1	ROBERT R. POWELL, ESQ. CSB# 159747	
2	BRETT O. TERRY, ESQ. CSB# 270694	
3	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL 925 West Hedding Street San Jose, California 95126 (408) 553-0200 Telephone (408) 553-0203 Facsimile	
4		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
6		
7	JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III, ESQ. CSB# 5. PETRA BRUGGISSER CSB# 241173 McNamara, Ney, Beatty, Slattery, Borges & Ambacher LLP 1211 Newell Avenue Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 939-5330 Facsimile: (925) 939-0203	55632
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF ANTIOCH, TREVOR SCHNITZIU KINT, and OFFICER WHITE	US, KRISTOPHER
13		,
14	ADDITIONAL COUNSEL AND PARTIES,	SEE NEXT
15	PAGE	, SEL IVEXI
16	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
17		TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	NORTHERN DIS	IRICI OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19	FORREST BLOCKER, individually and as Guardian Ad Litem for her minor child,	Case No. CV12-05597 CRB
20	M.B.,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
21	Plaintiffs,	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE
22	vs.	
23	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, LORI	CMC: 6/14/13 Time: 8:30 a.m.
24	CASTILLO, MEGHAN SCHULZE, NICOLE GREMILLION, LYNDA	Courtroom 6, 17th floor
25	EHRICH, MARY LOGGER, CITY OF ANTIOCH, TREVOR SCHNITZIUS,	450 Golden Gate, San Francisco, Ca.
26	KRISTOPHER KINT, OFFICER WHITE, PAULA MCEVOY, and Does 1-10,	Hon. Charles R. Breyer
27	inclusive,	
28	Defendants.	
	Case No. CV12-05597 CRB - STIPULATION	

AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CMC

1	PETER M. REHON, ESQ. CSB # 1000123
2	TYLER J. OLSON, ESQ. CSB 220796 REHON & ROBERTS
3	A Professional Corporation 830 The Alameda
4	San Jose, CA 95126 Telephone: (408) 494-0900
5	Facsimile: (408) 494-0909
6	Attorneys for Defendants LYNDA EHRICH and MARY LOGGER
7	
8	SHARON L. ANDERSON, ESQ. CSB # 94814 County Counsel
9	MONÍKA L. COOPER, ESQ. CSB # 193729 Supervising Deputy County Counsel
10	JANICE AMENTA, ESQ. CSB #161260 Deputy County Counsel
11	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA 651 Pine Street, Ninth Floor
	Martinez, California 94553
12	Telephone: (925) 335-1800 Facsimile: (925) 335-1866
13	Attorneys for Defendants
14	COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, MEGHAN SCHULZE, NICOLE GREMILLION, AND LORI CASTILLO
15	
16	
16 17	
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All parties to this action, believing that good cause exists, stipulate and agree, by and through their respective counsel, as follows:

- The parties have exchanged written discovery and document production requests following the initial case management conference. However, due to several obstacles, especially the Contra Costa County Superior Court's process involving the release of the juvenile dependency court records and the parties' efforts to agree upon and obtain a stipulated protective order before exchanging confidential documents, the parties have not been able to produce any documents in this case until this week.
- 2. Specifically, obtaining the juvenile dependency court records from the Contra Costa County Superior Court has been a particularly challenging process. Even though a request for the release of the juvenile case file was submitted on January 17th, 2013, the records were not made available by the court until May 28, 2013. The delay was largely due to the number of such requests in the Contra Costa County Superior Court and the fact that a new judge, who was unfamiliar with the process, had been assigned to deal with the requests at the beginning of this year.
- 3. In addition, due to the confidential nature of the underlying juvenile proceedings and the involvement of a minor plaintiff, the parties wanted to ensure that a protective order was in place before exchanging any confidential documents. The Court signed the parties' stipulated protective order on May 24, 2013. (See Doc. # 59, filed May 24, 2013).
- 4. Absent the production of any documents, the parties have not taken any depositions in this case to date. However, now that the juvenile case file has been released and the Court has signed the protective order, the parties are in the process of producing documents in this case. Once the documents have been exchanged, the parties will need sufficient time to evaluate the information and will then schedule the depositions of the involved parties. The parties believe that they will be in a position to schedule the depositions within the next 45-60 days, prior to the settlement conference with Hon. Magistrate Judge Maria Elena James.
- 5. A Settlement Conference has been scheduled with Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James on July 12, 2013.

1	6. Based on the foregoing, the parties wish to continue to Case Management
2	Conference, which is currently set for June 14, 2013, for 60 days to allow the parties sufficient
3	time to conduct their discovery and to evaluate the case. The parties believe that good cause
4	exists for such reasonable continuation to save judicial time, resources, fees and costs.
5	7. Therefore, the parties seek the Court's approval to continue the Case Management
6	Conference, currently set for June 14, 2013, for 60 days to at date and time chosen by the Court at
7	the Court's convenience and availability.
8	
9	Respectfully submitted,
10	Date: May 30, 2013 /s/ Powell, Robert
11	ROBERT POWELL
	Attorney for Plaintiffs
12	D . M . 20 2012
13	Date: May 30, 2013 /s/_Fitzgerald, James JAMES V. FITZGERALD, III
14	Attorney for City of Antioch
15	Defendants
16	Date: May 30, 2013/s/_Rehon, Peter
17	PETER REHON Attorney for M. Logger
18	And L. Ehrich
19	
20	Date: May 30, 2013 /s/_Amenta, Janice
	JANICE AMENTA Attorney for County of
21	Contra Costa Defendants
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. CV12-05597 CRB - STIPULATION 4

AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS AS

FOLLOWS:

The Case Management Conference, currently set for June 14, 2013, is continued to August 16, 2013, 2013 at 8:30 a.m..

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: June 3, 2013

